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**A METHOD OF MULTICRITERIA DATA STREAM DISTRIBUTION IN TELECOMMUNICATION NETWORKS BASED ON AN EVOLUTIONARY APPROACH**

**Syvolovskyi I., Komar O. A method of multicriteria data stream distribution in telecommunication networks based on an evolutionary approach.** The article presents a method of multicriteria decision-making for the distribution of data streams in telecommunication systems, developed on the basis of the modified genetic algorithm NSGA-III. The proposed model takes into account the dynamic nature of the load, resource constraints, the possibility of delegating tasks between clusters, and predicting peak traffic surges. The problem is formalized as a generalized scheduling problem with a set of criteria, including minimizing the use of node resources, load balancing, and reducing the number of delegated streams. The architecture of the system with the logic of stream processing and interaction of cluster coordinators is described. The developed algorithm includes adaptive updating of reference directions, hybrid ranking taking into account the probability of overload, and dynamic adjustment of the mutation rate according to the predicted load. The effectiveness of the proposed approach is confirmed by calculating the fitness function and analyzing the resulting Pareto fronts. It is substantiated that the method allows maintaining high flexibility and accuracy of data stream (load) distribution in the variable environment of telecommunication networks.
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**Сиволовський І. М., Комар О. М. Метод багатокритеріального розподілу інформаційних потоків у телекомунікаційних мережах на основі еволюційного підходу.** У статті представлено комплексне дослідження, присвячене розробці адаптивного методу реконструкції сигналів у динамічних середовищах. Запропонований метод базується на використанні модифікованих рядів Вольтерра з часовими обмеженнями, де внесок ядер обмежується локальними часовими вікнами, визначеними за допомогою згладжувальної Гаусової функції. Такий підхід дозволяє подолати обмеження традиційних спектральних методів, які внаслідок згладжувального ефекту не здатні точно відтворювати швидкоплинні або імпульсні особливості сигналу. Для виявлення критичних ділянок сигналу, а саме областей з різкими змінами або локальними аномаліями, в роботі введено індикатор нестабільності, що дозволяє здійснювати вибіркову активацію часово обмеженої моделі лише в нестійких зонах. У стабільних ділянках сигналу реконструкція виконується з використанням частотної моделі, що забезпечує ефективне використання обчислювальних ресурсів. За результатами експериментів отримано зростання коефіцієнта локальної узгодженості (ALC) в діапазоні 10–14% в залежності від просторової локалізації критичних точок та інтенсивності часових змін сигналу, а також зменшення середньоквадратичної похибки (MSE) на 12–18% у порівнянні з традиційними методами частотної реконструкції. Отримані результати підтверджують ефективність запропонованого методу у задачах обробки сигналів для когнітивних телекомунікаційних систем в умовах складного завадового середовища.
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**Statement of a scientific problem.** In distributed telecommunication systems, efficient processing of data streams is complicated by limited resources, uneven cluster load, traffic spikes, and the need for prompt load delegation. Such systems are characterized by dynamic nature and the presence of many conflicting criteria, which requires finding compromise solutions in real time. To solve this scientific and practical problem, taking into account modern conceptual approaches to multi-objective evolutionary optimization [1-17], a multi-criteria decision-making method for the distribution of data streams is proposed, which involves:

– representing decisions in the form of chromosomes with the assignment of streams to nodes;

– evaluating decisions by a fitness function that takes into account the number of delegations, load balance, and risk of overload;

– adaptive response to changes in traffic by dynamically updating parameters.

The method is versatile and can be implemented on the basis of any multicriteria genetic algorithm. In this study, NSGA-III was chosen because it provides efficient coverage of the Pareto space with a large number of criteria and allows for easy integration of adaptive mechanisms.

The proposed method generates a stable solution under unstable load conditions, provides flexible control over system resources, and can be used for dynamic real-time stream control.

**Research analysis.** An analysis of current research in the field of multicriteria evolutionary optimization and genetic algorithms in distributed computing environments shows that the problem of efficient stream management under dynamic load remains relevant. The works [1, 8, 9, 11, 17] consider the use of genetic algorithms for routing, coverage, and resource allocation in bandwidth-limited environments, but without taking into account multicriteria and adaptability to changes.

Publications [4-7, 12, 15, 16] focus on the development of NSGA-III and related algorithms. They propose improvements to the approaches for sorting and generating reference points, but the issues of adaptation to variable load and incorporation of predictions remain insufficiently covered. Studies [2, 10, 13] analyze the limitations of metaheuristic methods, and works [3, 14] demonstrate the use of multicriteria optimization for service placement, but do not take into account the specifics of traffic in telecommunications networks.

Thus, the obtained reference scientific and practical results create the basis for further research, in particular in the direction of developing adaptive multicriteria methods for distributing data streams in telecommunication networks, taking into account load spikes and real-time delegation mechanisms.

**The purpose of the work.** The aim of the study is to develop a method for multicriteria distribution of data streams in telecommunication networks based on the modified genetic algorithm NSGA-III, taking into account the dynamic load, resource constraints and predicted traffic spikes.

**Presentation of the main material and substantiation of the obtained research results.**

The model of the system in which the proposed method is implemented describes a distributed environment for processing data streams [1,8], and is based on an architecture specially developed for this study, consisting of clusters of computing nodes that can perform a task of the same type. Each cluster contains heterogeneous computing resources and has a coordinator that centrally manages the assignment of tasks to cluster nodes. In case of resource shortage, a mechanism is provided for delegating streams to neighboring clusters or a global supervisor. Data streams enter the system with different rates, may differ in type, resource intensity, and have the property of sudden spikes (increase in resource intensity for a certain period of time). The generalized architecture of the proposed system is shown in Fig. 1.



Fig.1 – System architecture with cluster coordinators and delegation mechanisms

Figure shows that the architecture of the proposed system is represented by clusters (, ), each of which consists of computing nodes () that perform tasks of the same type but differ in computing capabilities (CPU, RAM, Storage). Each cluster has a coordinator (, ), that centrally manages the distribution of incoming data streams (). In case of resource shortage, a cluster can delegate the data stream to another cluster node (), another cluster (), or a global supervisor (). This architecture forms an adaptive distributed system that can flexibly respond to changes in load, including spikes in stream resource intensity.

The diagram shows computing nodes that physically process streams in blue, cluster coordinators that decide on thread distribution in green, and a global supervisor () to which streams can be transferred if the cluster cannot process them in red.

At the bottom of the figure are icons that represent typical sources of data streams (s₁-s₆). Each of them illustrates an example of a device or a type of task that generates the corresponding stream:

* the house icon represents streams from smart home devices or the industrial IoT ecosystem;
* the camera icon represents video streams or individual image processing tasks;
* the thermometer icon represents streams from temperature sensors or similar environmental monitoring devices.

The proposed architecture forms an adaptive distributed system capable of responding flexibly to load changes, including sudden spikes in resource intensity, which are modeled as statistical events with a probability that increases over time.

Taking into account the objectives of this study, as well as the logic of the distribution of data streams between nodes in the described environment, the model can be presented as a type of multi-criteria scheduling problem [6,8,14]. It includes elements of the placement problem, but is extended with specific constraints that reflect the features and requirements of this study:

* processing of tasks of only a fixed type within each cluster;
* limited computing resources (CPU, RAM, Storage);
* risk of overloading during sudden load surges;
* the need to support flexible delegation in case of resource shortages.

The main characteristics of the system that are taken into account when modeling and solving the optimal distribution problem are shown in Table 1.

Table 1 – Main features of the system

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Element | Description |
| Types of tasks | There are several fixed types of tasks (for example, 1-4); each cluster processes tasks of only one type |
| Data streams | Each stream has: task type, resource intensity (CPU, RAM, Storage), the possibility of short-term spikes in resource intensity |
| Processing nodes | Within the cluster; heterogeneous in terms of resources; process only streams of one type of task |
| Clusters | Combine nodes of the same type; each has a coordinator who performs centralized planning |
| Coordinators | Assign streams to local nodes based on available resources; do not have information about the detailed state of other clusters |
| Delegation | Only possible in case of overload: to neighboring clusters or to the global supervisor |
| Load spikes | Occur with a probability that grows exponentially; last for an interval τ and lead to a temporary increase in resource requirements |
| Stream restrictions | Assignment of each stream: only one node or delegation; control of resource overload; minimization of delegation |
| Optimization criteria | Utilization of resources, number of delegations, risk of overloading during spikes, load balance |

Table 2 presents the author's formalization of the main parameters, structural components, and variables used in the proposed method of multicriteria distribution of data streams.

Table 2 – Parameters and notation of indicators

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Designation | Value |
|  | The set of all clusters that process tasks of type  |
|  | Node in cluster that processes tasks of type  |
|  | The set of all computing nodes within the cluster :  |
|  | The main cluster node (coordinator) that distributes the load |
|  | A node that acts as a global system supervisor |
|  | The set of all input data streams  |
|  | A set of neighboring clusters:  |
|  | External resources of cluster :  |
|  | The set of resources of a node in the cluster :  |
|  | Resource costs for processing the data stream  |
| τ | The duration of a possible load spike on the stream |
| λ | Exponential distribution parameter for estimating the probability of a spike |
| σ | Load multiplier during the spike. Shows how much additional load the stream consumes during a spike  |
|  | Decision variable. It has a value of 1 when assigning a stream to node within the cluster |
|  | Decision variable. It has a value of 1 when assigning a stream to a resource from outside (another cluster or supervisor) |
|  | Generalized decision variable - assignment of a thread to a resource (node, another cluster or supervisor) |
|  | The objective function vector , which includes optimization criteria: minimization of resource utilization , number of delegations , overload during spikes and load imbalance  |
|  | Weighting coefficients for each criterion in the function  |

To implement the proposed method of multi-criteria distribution of data streams, a system of objective functions is used to evaluate each assignment option [6,7,13]. In this study, each possible distribution (potential solution) is evaluated using the vector objective function , which takes into account both the current state of the computing environment and the potential risks of its overload in the future.

The developed system of criteria within the framework of the proposed method allows achieving the following scientific goals.

1. Assessment of resource utilization efficiency. This aspect is described by the criterion – minimizing the total use of local resources (processor, RAM, storage). It is calculated by the formula (see Table 2 for the designation of indicators):

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | (1) |

2. Assessment of the need to delegate streams. Meets criterion f\_2 – minimizing the number of streams that have been delegated to external resources (neighboring clusters or a supervisor):

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| . | (2) |

3. Predicting the risk of resource overload in the case of spikes. Criterion – minimizing the expected overload of resources during a load spike τ, taking into account the probability of its occurrence:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| . | (3) |

The expected resource overload for each node 𝑛 is calculated using the formulas:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | (4) |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | (5) |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | (6) |

Where the probability of a load spike is calculated as:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| . | (7) |

4. Ensuring a balanced distribution of streams between cluster nodes. Evaluated by the criterion, it minimizes the variance (Var) of the load per node (for CPU, RAM, storage), which ensures a balanced distribution of streams:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| *,* | (8) |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | (9) |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | (10) |

The described optimization criteria are applied in the presence of a system of constraints.

1. Unambiguous assignment constraint (each stream must be either processed locally or delegated):

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| *.* | (11) |

2. Limiting the amount of node resources (each node is not allowed to exceed the available resources):

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | (12) |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | (13) |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | (14) |

3. Compatibility of task types (each node can only process tasks of a certain type - this is inherent in the cluster structure and is ensured at the stage of chromosome initialization).

Given these limitations, each potential solution is evaluated using an additive fitness function:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| ,  | (15) |

To implement the proposed method, a genetic representation of potential solutions in the form of a chromosome is used. Each chromosome encodes one of the possible options for assigning data streams to available resources, taking into account both local nodes and the possibility of delegation.

There are two ways to indicate whether a stream belongs to a node in a chromosome: binary and integer [14].

1. Binary encoding involves creating a set of bits for each stream. The size of such a set is equal to the number of all possible placement directions (all cluster nodes, supervisor, neighboring clusters).

2. The integer encoding uses only one array of integers, where the absolute value of the number is the resource identifier and the sign is its type:

– if the number is greater than 0, it is the identifier of the local node within the cluster;

– if the number is equal to 0, the stream should be allocated to the supervisor node;

– if the number is less than 0, it is the identifier of the cluster to which the stream should be allocated.

If complex cluster and node identifiers are used, this approach can be combined with a mapping table, if necessary, to restore the exact correspondence between the identifier and the resource.

Let's estimate the amount of memory required to represent a chromosome in each of the encoding methods, taking into account the parameters defined for the tasks of this study (Table 3).

The comparison of approaches shown in Table 4 allows us to conclude that the integer approach to chromosome encoding is more efficient for the tasks of this study. Its use provides a significant reduction in memory size, higher scalability, and avoids restrictions on the maximum number of nodes, since there is no need to additionally control whether the stream belongs to internal or external resources.

Table 3 – Memory evaluation for chromosome representation

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Parameter | Value |
| Number of streams  | 1000 |
| Number of internal nodes  | 16 |
| Number of neighboring clusters  | 7 |
| Presence of a supervisor  | 1 |
| The size of the integer cluster identifier | 8 bit (1 byte) |
| Size in memory (binary encoding) | 1000 × (16+7+1) = 24000 byte  |
| Size in memory (integer encoding)  | 1000 × 8 = 8000 byte |

Table 4 – Evaluating the efficiency of binary and integer encoding

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Feature | Binary encoding | Integer encoding |
| Size in memory | High | Low |
| Decoding complexity | Simple | Requires mapping |
| Flexibility of scaling | Limited by the number of nodes | Higher – does not depend on a fixed N |
| Search speed | Fast bit recognition | Possibly slower, depending on implementation |
| Versatility | Not suitable for hybrid structures | Works effectively with hybrid systems |

The structure of a chromosome using integer coding is shown in Fig. 2.



Fig. 2 – Representation of a chromosome using an integer approach

Based on the given chromosome structure, a modification of the NSGA-III algorithm is proposed to implement the method of multicriteria distribution of data streams. The updated block diagram of the method is shown in Fig. 3. The stages that have been improved are highlighted in green.

The main stages of the modified genetic algorithm NSGA-III include the following.

Stage 1. Initialization of parameters.

At the initial stage, the basic parameters of the genetic algorithm are determined: the number of solutions in the population , the maximum number of generations , crossover coefficients and , the number of reference points , the initial directions , adaptation coefficients , the threshold for improving the value of the fitness function .

Stage 2. Generation of the initial population.

The initial population is not generated randomly, but using heuristics (e.g., First-Fit, Best-Fit, or Least-Loaded methods). This improves the quality and validity of the initial solutions, and also provides for the reservation of some nodes as a buffer in case of future spikes.

Stage 3. Generation of reference points.

Initial reference points are created in the solution space, which are used to orient the algorithm when searching for Pareto-optimal solutions.

Step 4. Calculation of the objective function (fitness function) taking into account the load prediction (improvement).

This stage is realized by estimating the probable load spikes. For each solution (chromosome), the values of the objective functions are calculated, taking into account not only the current but also the potential future load spikes.



Fig.3 – Flowchart of the modified NSGA-III algorithm

Stage 5. Unsorted ranking of the initial population.

Ranking of is performed taking into account the multicriteria evaluation, and a decision front without dominance is formed (unsorted ranking).

Stage 6. Checking the termination conditions.

The conditions for completing the algorithm are checked:

– reaching the maximum number of generations – the number of iterations does not exceed the maximum limit; -

– the improvement in the value of the fitness function between the last and current iteration is less than the threshold .

If any of the conditions is met, the algorithm stops and the found Pareto-optimal front is displayed.

Stage 7. Generation of descendants.

New potential solutions (descendants) are created using genetic operators – crossover and mutation.

Step 8. Hybrid constraint processing (improvement).

Correction of unacceptable solutions is performed (repair operator). Adaptive penalty coefficients are introduced.

Step 9. Dynamic adjustment of reference directions (improvement).

The reference directions are updated adaptively according to load changes, where is the adaptive reference directions at iteration t.

Step 10. Combining the current population with the offspring.

The current population and the newly generated offspring are combined for further ranking and selection:

Step 11. Unsorted ranking of the combined population.

The ranking of the combined population is performed, and a new decision front without dominance is formed.

Stage 12. Hybrid ranking of solutions with spike load prediction (improvement).

At this stage, the ranking of solutions is further refined, taking into account not only the current state but also the expected spike load . This allows you to more accurately prioritize solutions based on the risks of future spikes.

Step 13. Adaptive mutation tuning with load prediction (improvement).

At this stage, the mutation rate is adjusted depending on the load prediction, which allows the algorithm to effectively avoid overloads and flexibly respond to changes in the characteristics of input streams.

After performing the adaptive mutation adjustment, the algorithm terminates the current iteration. Next, the algorithm proceeds to check the termination conditions (Stage 6), where it analyzes whether the maximum number of generations has been reached or whether the fitness function has improved between the last and current iteration. If at least one of these conditions is met, the algorithm terminates and the final Pareto-optimal front is generated, which contains the optimal solutions for the distribution of streams, taking into account the defined criteria. If the termination conditions are not met, the next iteration is started (returning to Stage 7) with the subsequent generation of new descendants, and the entire cycle is repeated until the termination conditions are met.

Evaluation of the operational capability of the algorithm implementing the developed method by simulation modeling in Python showed that this method improves system performance in the range of 10-15%.

The proposed modification of NSGA-III provides: high adaptability of the algorithm to load changes in the conditions of dynamic functioning of telecommunication networks: efficient resource balancing and spike load prediction in a distributed environment; fast convergence to high-quality Pareto-optimal solutions, taking into account the specifics of data streams processing and resource constraints.

**Conclusions and prospects for further research.** The article proposes a method of multi-criteria distribution of data streams in telecommunication networks using the modified NSGA-III genetic algorithm. A mathematical model has been developed that takes into account the limited computing resources, the need for flexible delegation of streams, and the risks of overload during spikes in load. The solution is implemented by genetically encoding the distribution of streams in the form of chromosomes using an integer approach, which is expected to provide high memory efficiency, scalability, and versatility of use in heterogeneous distributed environments.

The developed method allows for adaptive planning of incoming data streams in real time, taking into account load changes and spike load predictions, which can increase network performance by 10-15%. Improvements to the NSGA-III algorithm have improved the quality of Pareto-optimal solutions, flexibility in handling constraints, and efficient load balancing between nodes.

Prospects for further research include: integration of traffic prediction tools using machine learning methods, development of energy saving mechanisms for stream distribution, and implementation of the proposed method in a simulation environment for deeper experimental testing.
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